

# Polynomial Grothendieck properties <sup>\*</sup>

Manuel González<sup>†</sup>

Departamento de Matemáticas

Facultad de Ciencias

Universidad de Cantabria

39071 Santander (Spain)

Joaquín M. Gutiérrez<sup>‡</sup>

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada

ETS de Ingenieros Industriales

Universidad Politécnica de Madrid

C. José Gutiérrez Abascal 2

28006 Madrid (Spain)

## Abstract

A Banach space  $E$  has the Grothendieck property if every (linear bounded) operator from  $E$  into  $c_0$  is weakly compact. It is proved that, for an integer  $k > 1$ , every  $k$ -homogeneous polynomial from  $E$  into  $c_0$  is weakly compact if and only if the space  $\mathcal{P}^k(E)$  of scalar valued polynomials on  $E$  is reflexive. This is equivalent to the symmetric  $k$ -fold projective tensor product of  $E$  (i.e., the predual of  $\mathcal{P}^k(E)$ ) having the Grothendieck property. The Grothendieck property of the projective tensor product  $E \widehat{\otimes} F$  is also characterized. Moreover, the Grothendieck property of  $E$  is described in terms of sequences of polynomials. Finally, it is shown that if every operator from  $E$  into  $c_0$  is completely continuous, then so is every polynomial between these spaces.

Throughout,  $E, F$  will be Banach spaces, and  $E^*$  the dual of  $E$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$  the space of all (linear bounded) operators from  $E$  to  $F$ , and by  $\mathcal{Co}(E, F)$  ( $\mathcal{WCo}(E, F)$ ) the subspace of all (weakly) compact operators. We say that  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$  is *completely continuous* if it takes weakly convergent sequences into norm convergent sequences, and we write  $T \in \mathcal{CC}(E, F)$ .

For an integer  $k$ , we shall consider the following classes of polynomials:

---

<sup>\*</sup>1991 AMS Subject Classification: Primary 46B20, 46E99

<sup>†</sup>Supported in part by DGICYT Grant PB 91-0307 (Spain)

<sup>‡</sup>Supported in part by DGICYT Grants PB 90-0044 and PB 91-0307 (Spain)

(a)  $\mathcal{P}(^kE, F)$  is the space of all  $k$ -homogeneous (continuous) polynomials from  $E$  to  $F$ ;

(b)  $\mathcal{P}_{cc}(^kE, F)$ , the subspace of *completely continuous polynomials*, i.e., the polynomials taking weakly convergent sequences into norm convergent ones, equivalently, taking weak Cauchy sequences into convergent ones [3, Theorem 2.3];

(c)  $\mathcal{P}_{wco}(^kE, F)$ , the subspace of weakly compact polynomials.

(d)  $\mathcal{P}_{wb}(^kE, F)$ , the polynomials whose restrictions to bounded subsets of  $E$  are weakly continuous; these are compact polynomials. It is well known that  $\mathcal{P}_{wb}(^kE, F) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{cc}(^kE, F)$ , and the equality occurs if and only if  $E$  contains no copy of  $\ell_1$  (see e.g. [14]).

The space of  $k$ -linear (continuous) mappings from  $E^k$  to  $F$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}(^kE, F)$ . To each  $P \in \mathcal{P}(^kE, F)$  we can associate a unique symmetric  $A \in \mathcal{L}(^kE, F)$  such that  $P(x) = A(x, \dots, x)$  for all  $x \in E$ . Whenever  $F$  is omitted, it is understood to be the scalar field. For the general theory of polynomials between Banach spaces, we refer to [19].

The projective tensor product of  $E$  and  $F$  is referred to as  $E \widehat{\otimes} F$ . The closed linear span of the set  $\{x \otimes \dots \otimes x : x \in E\}$  in  $\widehat{\otimes}^k E := E \widehat{\otimes} \dots \widehat{\otimes} E$  is denoted by  $\widehat{\Delta}^k E$ . Its dual is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{P}(^kE)$ . The spaces  $\mathcal{P}(^kE, F)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\widehat{\Delta}^k E, F)$  are linearly isometric, and the image of  $\mathcal{P}_{wco}(^kE, F)$  under this isometry is  $\mathcal{WCo}(\widehat{\Delta}^k E, F)$  [22].

We say that  $E$  has the *Grothendieck property*, and write  $E \in \mathcal{Gr}$ , if every sequence in  $E^*$  converging to zero in the weak-star ( $w^*$ ) topology, is also weakly null. Equivalently, if every operator  $E \rightarrow c_0$  is weakly compact.

In this paper, we investigate conditions on  $E$  so that every  $k$ -homogeneous polynomial  $E \rightarrow c_0$  be weakly compact, equivalently  $\widehat{\Delta}^k E \in \mathcal{Gr}$ , proving that this is the case if and only if  $\mathcal{P}(^kE)$ , and hence  $\widehat{\Delta}^k E$ , is reflexive. These “polynomially reflexive” Banach spaces have been investigated by various authors [1, 7, 9, 13].

We also show that the situation is different if we consider the Grothendieck property of  $E \widehat{\otimes} F$ , proving that for  $E \in \mathcal{Gr}$  and  $F^*$  reflexive with the bounded compact approximation property,  $E \widehat{\otimes} F \in \mathcal{Gr}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{L}(E, F^*) = \mathcal{Co}(E, F^*)$ . In particular,  $\ell_\infty \widehat{\otimes} \ell_p \in \mathcal{Gr}$  for  $2 < p < \infty$ , and  $\ell_\infty \widehat{\otimes} T^* \in \mathcal{Gr}$ , where  $T^*$  is the original Tsirelson space.

Every  $P \in \mathcal{P}(^kE)$  has a standard extension  $\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{P}(^kE^{**})$  (see [6]). Thus, given  $P \in \mathcal{P}(^kE, c_0)$ , with  $Px = (P_n x)_n$ , we can define  $\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{P}(^kE^{**}, \ell_\infty)$  by  $\tilde{P}z := (\tilde{P}_n z)_n$ . It is shown that  $E \in \mathcal{Gr}$  if and only if, for every sequence  $(P_n) \subset \mathcal{P}(^kE)$  such that  $P_n x \rightarrow 0$  for all  $x \in E$ , we have  $\tilde{P}_n z \rightarrow 0$  for every  $z \in E^{**}$ . Then  $E \in \mathcal{Gr}$  if and only if for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}(^kE, c_0)$ , we have  $\tilde{P}(E^{**}) \subseteq c_0$ .

Several authors [20, 13, 11] have studied conditions on  $E, F$  so that  $\mathcal{P}(^kE, F) = \mathcal{P}_{cc}(^kE, F)$ . Here we investigate the equality  $\mathcal{P}(^kE, c_0) = \mathcal{P}_{cc}(^kE, c_0)$ , proving that it is equivalent to  $\mathcal{L}(E, c_0) = \mathcal{CC}(E, c_0)$ . Grothendieck spaces with the Dunford-Pettis property, and Schur spaces satisfy this property. In [18], examples are given of Grothendieck spaces with the Dunford-Pettis property, and semigroups of operators on these spaces are studied.

## §1

We first characterize the spaces  $E$  such that  $\mathcal{P}(^kE, c_0) = \mathcal{P}_{wco}(^kE, c_0)$ . Some previous results are needed.

### Proposition 1

*Let  $E$  be a nonreflexive space with the Grothendieck property. Then  $E$  contains a copy of  $\ell_1$ .*

*Proof.*

Since  $E \in \mathcal{Gr}$ ,  $E$  has no quotient isomorphic to  $c_0$ . Assume  $E$  contains no copy of  $\ell_1$ . Then,  $E^*$  contains no copy of  $\ell_1$  [12, Corollary 2.3]. Given a bounded sequence  $(\phi_n) \subset E^*$ , we can find a weak Cauchy subsequence  $(\phi_{n_k})$ . The sequence  $(\phi_{n_k})$  is  $w^*$ -convergent, hence weakly convergent, and we conclude that  $E$  is reflexive.  $\square$

### Proposition 2

*If  $\mathcal{P}(^kE, c_0) = \mathcal{P}_{wco}(^kE, c_0)$  for some  $k > 1$ , then  $E$  is reflexive.*

*Proof.*

Suppose there is a nonweakly compact  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, c_0)$ . Then we can find a bounded sequence  $(x_n) \subset E$  such that  $(Tx_n)$  converges in the topology  $\sigma(\ell_\infty, \ell_1)$  to some  $(a_n) \in \ell_\infty$  with  $\lim a_n = a \neq 0$ . Define  $P(x) := (Tx)^k$ , i.e., take the  $k$ th power coordinatewise. We have  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{wco}(^kE, c_0)$ . However,  $(Px_n)$  converges in the topology  $\sigma(\ell_\infty, \ell_1)$  to the sequence  $(a_n^k)_n \in \ell_\infty$ , with  $\lim_n a_n^k = a^k \neq 0$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $E \in \mathcal{Gr}$ .

Suppose  $E$  is nonreflexive. By Proposition 1,  $E$  contains a copy of  $\ell_1$ . Then, there is a quotient map  $q : E \rightarrow \ell_2$  (see e.g. [9, Lemma 12]). Let  $P : \ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_1$  be the polynomial given by  $P((x_n)_n) = (x_n^k)_n$ , and let  $q' : \ell_1 \rightarrow c_0$  be a quotient map. Then the product  $q'Pq \in \mathcal{P}(^kE, c_0)$  is not weakly compact, a contradiction.  $\square$

### Lemma 3

*If  $M$  is a complemented subspace of  $E$ , then  $\widehat{\Delta}^k M$  is a complemented subspace of  $\widehat{\Delta}^k E$ .*

*Proof.*

If  $S \in \mathcal{L}(E, E)$  is a projection with  $S(E) = M$ , consider the linear mapping defined by

$$x \otimes \cdot^{(k)} \otimes x \longmapsto Sx \otimes \cdot^{(k)} \otimes Sx \quad (x \in E).$$

Easily, this mapping extends to an operator in  $\mathcal{L}(\widehat{\Delta}^k E, \widehat{\Delta}^k E)$ , which is the required projection.  $\square$

To simplify notation, we write  $x^{(k)} := x \otimes \cdot^{(k)} \otimes x$ , for  $x \in E$ . Let  $P_k : \otimes^k E \rightarrow \otimes^k E$  be the projection defined by

$$P_k(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k) = \frac{1}{k!2^k} \sum_{\epsilon_j = \pm 1} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_k (\epsilon_1 x_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_k x_k)^{(k)}.$$

This mapping extends to an operator on  $\widehat{\otimes}^k E$ , which is a projection of  $\widehat{\otimes}^k E$  onto  $\widehat{\Delta}^k E$ . The following Lemma is contained in [8]. We include the proof for completeness.

**Lemma 4**

Given  $u \in \widehat{\Delta}^k E$ , there exists a sequence  $(x_i) \subset E$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|x_i\|^k < \infty$  and  $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_i x_i^{(k)}$ , with  $\epsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}$ .

*Proof.*

By the definition of the projective norm, for any  $\delta > 0$ , we can find sequences  $(x_n^1), \dots, (x_n^k) \subset E$ , such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n^1\| \cdots \|x_n^k\| < \|u\| + \delta, \text{ and } u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n^k.$$

Then,

$$u = P_k u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_k (x_n^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n^k).$$

We can assume that, for each  $n$ ,  $\|x_n^1\| = \cdots = \|x_n^k\|$ . Since

$$P_k (x_n^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n^k) = \frac{1}{k! 2^k} \sum_{\epsilon_j = \pm 1} \epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_k (\epsilon_1 x_n^1 + \cdots + \epsilon_k x_n^k)^{(k)},$$

denoting by  $(x_i)$  the sequence

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2^k \sqrt{k!}} (\epsilon_1 x_n^1 + \cdots + \epsilon_k x_n^k) : \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k = \pm 1; n = 1, 2, \dots \right\},$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|x_i\|^k \leq \frac{k^k}{k!} (\|u\| + \delta), \text{ and } u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_i x_i^{(k)},$$

completing the proof.  $\square$

Before stating the main result of this part, recall that for  $P \in \mathcal{P}(^k E, F)$ , its *adjoint* is the operator  $P^* : F^* \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(^k E)$  given by  $P^*(\psi) = \psi \circ P$  for every  $\psi \in F^*$ . Then  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{wco}(^k E, F)$  if and only if  $P^*$  is weakly compact [23, Proposition 2.1].

**Theorem 5**

Given  $k > 1$ , we have that  $\mathcal{P}(^k E, c_0) = \mathcal{P}_{wco}(^k E, c_0)$  if and only if the space  $\mathcal{P}(^k E)$  is reflexive.

*Proof.*

For the “only if” part, if  $E$  is separable, then  $\widehat{\Delta}^k E$  is a Grothendieck separable space, hence reflexive. In the general case, suppose  $\widehat{\Delta}^k E$  is not reflexive. By Lemma 4, we can find

$$w_n = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_i x_n^i \otimes \binom{k}{\cdot} \otimes x_n^i, \quad \|w_n\| \leq 1$$

so that  $\{w_n\}$  is not relatively weakly compact in  $\widehat{\Delta}^k E$ . Let  $N$  be the closed linear span of  $\{x_n^i\}_{i,n}$  in  $E$ . Since  $E$  is reflexive (Proposition 2), there is a separable

subspace  $M$  complemented in  $E$  with  $N \subseteq M$ . By Lemma 3,  $\widehat{\Delta}^k M \in \mathcal{G}r$ , and is separable, therefore reflexive. However,  $(w_n) \subset \widehat{\Delta}^k M$ , a contradiction, and we conclude that  $\widehat{\Delta}^k E$  and  $\mathcal{P}({}^k E)$  are reflexive.

The “if” part is clear by the previous comment.  $\square$

It is well known that the space  $\mathcal{P}({}^k \ell_p)$  is reflexive if and only if  $k < p < \infty$ . If  $E = T^*$ , then  $\mathcal{P}({}^k E)$  is reflexive for all  $k$  [1].

In the last Theorem,  $c_0$  can be replaced by any superspace  $F$ . However, for  $F$  containing no copy of  $c_0$ , the result is not true since, for instance, every polynomial from  $E = c_0$  into  $F \not\supset c_0$  is weakly continuous on bounded subsets (see e.g. [11]).

## §2

In this part, we show that the situation is different for general projective tensor products. We refine a result of [17], proving that for  $E \in \mathcal{G}r$  and  $F^*$  reflexive with the bounded compact approximation property,  $E \widehat{\otimes} F \in \mathcal{G}r$  if and only if  $\mathcal{L}(E, F^*) = \mathcal{C}o(E, F^*)$ . As a consequence,  $\ell_\infty \widehat{\otimes} \ell_p$  for  $2 < p < \infty$  and  $\ell_\infty \widehat{\otimes} T^*$  have the Grothendieck property.

### Proposition 6

*Suppose  $E \widehat{\otimes} F \in \mathcal{G}r$ . Then  $E, F \in \mathcal{G}r$  and at least one of them is reflexive.*

*Proof.*

Since  $E$  and  $F$  are complemented in  $E \widehat{\otimes} F$ , the first assertion is clear. Suppose  $E$  and  $F$  are nonreflexive. Then each of them contains a copy of  $\ell_1$  (Proposition 1). Hence, there are quotient maps (see e.g. [9, Lemma 12])

$$q_1 : E \longrightarrow \ell_2 \quad \text{and} \quad q_2 : F \longrightarrow \ell_2.$$

Consider the quotient maps

$$E \widehat{\otimes} F \xrightarrow{q_1 \widehat{\otimes} \text{id}} \ell_2 \widehat{\otimes} F \xrightarrow{\text{id} \widehat{\otimes} q_2} \ell_2 \widehat{\otimes} \ell_2.$$

It is well known that  $\ell_2 \widehat{\otimes} \ell_2 \notin \mathcal{G}r$  (separable Grothendieck spaces are reflexive). Hence,  $E \widehat{\otimes} F \notin \mathcal{G}r$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

### Remark 7

It follows from Proposition 6 that whenever  $E_1 \widehat{\otimes} \cdots \widehat{\otimes} E_k \in \mathcal{G}r$  and, for example,  $E_1$  is not reflexive,  $E_2 \widehat{\otimes} \cdots \widehat{\otimes} E_k$  is reflexive. In particular,  $E_2, \dots, E_k$  are reflexive.

Before stating the next result, recall that the dual of  $E \widehat{\otimes} F$  may be identified with  $\mathcal{L}(E, F^*)$ .

### Proposition 8

*Assume  $E \in \mathcal{G}r$  and  $F$  is reflexive. If  $\mathcal{L}(E, F^*) = \mathcal{C}o(E, F^*)$ , then  $E \widehat{\otimes} F \in \mathcal{G}r$ .*

*Proof.*

Let  $(A_n) \subset \mathcal{L}(E, F^*)$  be a  $w^*$ -null sequence. Then for every  $x \in E$  and  $y \in F$ ,

$$\langle y, A_n(x) \rangle = \langle x \otimes y, A_n \rangle \longrightarrow 0.$$

Applying Kalton's test for the weak convergence of sequences in spaces of compact operators (see Theorem 1 or 3 in [16]), we have that  $(A_n)$  is weakly null.  $\square$

We say that  $E$  has the *bounded compact approximation property* (BCAP) [4] if there exists  $\lambda \geq 1$  so that for each compact subset  $K \subset E$  and for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $S \in \mathcal{Co}(E, E)$  such that

$$\sup \{ \|Sx - x\| : x \in K \} \leq \epsilon, \quad \|S - \text{id}\| \leq \lambda.$$

Every space with the bounded approximation property has the BCAP. The converse is not true [24].

### Proposition 9

Suppose  $F^*$  is reflexive and has the BCAP, and  $E \widehat{\otimes} F \in \mathcal{Gr}$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{L}(E, F^*) = \mathcal{Co}(E, F^*)$ .

*Proof.*

Suppose first that  $F^*$  is separable. Then there is a bounded sequence  $(T_n) \subset \mathcal{Co}(F^*, F^*)$  such that  $T_n \psi \rightarrow \psi$  for all  $\psi \in F^*$ . Assume  $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F^*)$  is not compact. For  $x \in E$ ,  $y \in F$  we have

$$\langle x \otimes y, T_n T \rangle = \langle y, T_n(Tx) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle y, Tx \rangle = \langle x \otimes y, T \rangle.$$

Since  $(T_n T)$  is bounded and  $\{x \otimes y : x \in E, y \in F\}$  generates a dense subset of  $E \widehat{\otimes} F$ , we have that  $(T_n T)$  is  $w^*$  convergent to  $T$ . Since  $(T_n T) \subset \mathcal{Co}(E, F^*)$ ,  $(T_n T)$  is not weakly convergent to  $T$ , a contradiction.

For  $F^*$  nonseparable, suppose  $T$  as above. There is a bounded sequence  $(x_n) \subset E$  such that  $(Tx_n)$  has no Cauchy subsequence. The closed linear span of  $\{Tx_n\}$  is contained in a separable space  $M^*$  complemented in  $F^*$ . If  $q : F^* \rightarrow M^*$  is the identity on  $M^*$ , then  $qT \in \mathcal{L}(E, M^*)$  is noncompact. By the above,  $E \widehat{\otimes} M \notin \mathcal{Gr}$ , a contradiction since  $E \widehat{\otimes} M$  is a quotient of  $E \widehat{\otimes} F$ .  $\square$

### Corollary 10

For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , the space  $\ell_\infty \widehat{\otimes} \ell_p$  has the Grothendieck property if and only if  $2 < p < \infty$ .

*Proof.*

If  $2 < p < \infty$ , we have  $\ell_p^* = \ell_q$  with  $1 < q < 2$ , and it is known that every operator  $\ell_\infty \rightarrow \ell_q$  factors through  $\ell_2$  [21, Corollary 4.4] and is therefore compact. The converse is easy.  $\square$

**Remark 11**

a) We note that the space  $\ell_\infty \widehat{\otimes} \ell_p \widehat{\otimes} \ell_p$  does not have the Grothendieck property, for  $2 \leq p \leq 3$ . Indeed, there is a noncompact operator  $T : \ell_p \rightarrow (\ell_p \widehat{\otimes} \ell_p)^*$ , for instance, the operator  $T$  associated to the polynomial  $Px := \sum_{i=1}^\infty x_i^3$ , for  $x = (x_i) \in \ell_p$ , given by  $(Tx)(y \otimes z) = \hat{P}(x, y, z)$ , where  $\hat{P}$  is the symmetric 3-linear form associated to  $P$ . Then  $T$  is not completely continuous, so we can find a weakly null sequence  $(x_n) \subset \ell_p$  such that  $\{Tx_n\}$  is not relatively compact. Passing to a subsequence, we can assume that  $(x_n)$  is equivalent to a block basis and hence equivalent to the basis of  $\ell_p$ . Let  $q : \ell_\infty \rightarrow \ell_2$  be a quotient, and  $j : \ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_p$  the operator taking the  $\ell_2$ -basis into  $(x_n)$ . Then  $Tjq : \ell_\infty \rightarrow (\ell_p \widehat{\otimes} \ell_p)^*$  is not compact, and it is enough to apply Proposition 9.

b) It is proved in [2, Corollary 8] that the space  $(\widehat{\otimes}^k T^*) \widehat{\otimes} \ell_p$  is reflexive, for  $1 < p < \infty$ .

**Corollary 12**

*The space  $\ell_\infty \widehat{\otimes} T^*$  has the Grothendieck property.*

The proof relies on the following Lemma.

**Lemma 13**

*Let  $T$  be the dual of  $T^*$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty, T) = \mathcal{Co}(\ell_\infty, T)$ .*

*Proof.*

Assume  $S \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_\infty, T)$  is not compact. Let  $(y_k) \subset \ell_\infty$  be a bounded sequence such that  $(Sy_k)$  has no convergent subsequence. Choose a weakly convergent subsequence  $(Sy_{k_n})$ , and take  $x_n := y_{k_{2n}} - y_{k_{2n-1}}$ . Then  $(Sx_n)$  is weakly null, and we can assume that it is equivalent to a block basis in  $T$ .

Since  $\{Sx_n\}$  spans a complemented subspace  $[Sx_n]$  [5, Proposition II.6], there is an operator  $V : T \rightarrow [Sx_n]$  which is the identity on  $[Sx_n]$ . For  $1 < q < 2$ ,  $T$  has lower  $q$ -estimates [5, Proposition V.10], so there is an operator  $U : [Sx_n] \rightarrow \ell_q$  given by  $U(Sx_n) = e_n$ , where  $(e_n)$  is the unit vector basis of  $\ell_q$ . Then  $UVS : \ell_\infty \rightarrow \ell_q$  is not compact, a contradiction [21, Corollary 4.4].  $\square$

In [17], the following result was obtained (see *Zentralblatt Math.* **599** #46017 (1987)):

“Let  $E$  be a Banach space with the Grothendieck property, and  $F$  a reflexive space with the metric approximation property. For  $E \widehat{\otimes} F$  to have the Grothendieck property it is necessary and sufficient, that each operator  $E \rightarrow F^*$  be compact.”

Another related result is the following of [15]:

“If  $E$  and  $F$  are reflexive and both have the approximation property, then  $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$  is reflexive if and only if  $\mathcal{L}(E, F) = \mathcal{Co}(E, F)$ .”

### §3

Next we describe the Grothendieck property in terms of polynomials. Recall that each  $P \in \mathcal{P}(^k E)$  has a Davie-Gamelin extension  $\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{P}(^k E^{**})$  (see the Introduction). The authors are indebted to Professor Richard M. Aron, who suggested this study. Namely, he asked if, given  $E \in \mathcal{G}r$  and a sequence  $(P_n) \subset \mathcal{P}(^k E)$  with  $P_n x \rightarrow 0$  for all  $x \in E$ , it is true that  $\tilde{P}_n z \rightarrow 0$  for all  $z \in E^{**}$ . The following Theorem shows that the answer is affirmative.

#### Theorem 14

*The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a)  *$E$  has the Grothendieck property;*
- (b) *for every integer  $k$ , given a sequence  $(P_n) \subset \mathcal{P}(^k E)$  with  $P_n x \rightarrow 0$  for all  $x \in E$ , then  $\tilde{P}_n z \rightarrow 0$  for all  $z \in E^{**}$ ;*
- (c) *the same statement as (b) is true for some  $k$ .*

*Proof.*

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) By induction on  $k$ . For  $k = 1$ , the result is nothing but the definition of the Grothendieck property. Suppose it holds for  $k - 1$ , and let  $(P_n) \subset \mathcal{P}(^k E)$  be a sequence such that  $P_n x \rightarrow 0$  for all  $x \in E$ . Denote by  $F_n \in \mathcal{L}(^k E)$  the associated symmetric  $k$ -linear form, and by  $G_n \in \mathcal{L}(E \times E^{**} \times \binom{k-1}{\cdot, \cdot} \times E^{**})$  an extension obtained by the Davie-Gamelin method.

Thanks to the polarization formula [19, Theorem 1.10], we have that

$$F_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{for every } x_1, \dots, x_k \in E.$$

Fixing  $x_1 \in E$ , we define  $Q_n \in \mathcal{P}(^{k-1} E)$  by  $Q_n(x) = F_n(x_1, x, \dots, x)$ . Then  $Q_n x \rightarrow 0$  for all  $x \in E$ .

By the induction hypothesis and polarization,

$$G_n(x_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{for } z_2, \dots, z_k \in E^{**}.$$

Then, for  $z_2, \dots, z_k \in E^{**}$  fixed, the sequence  $(\phi_n) \subset E^*$ , given by  $\phi_n(x) = G_n(x, z_2, \dots, z_k)$ , is  $w^*$ -null, hence weakly null, and so

$$\tilde{F}_n(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{for every } z_1, \dots, z_k \in E^{**},$$

where  $\tilde{F}_n \in \mathcal{L}(^k E^{**})$  is the Davie-Gamelin extension of  $F_n$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) is trivial.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) Given a  $w^*$  null sequence  $(\phi_n) \subset E^*$ , apply (c) to  $P_n x := (\phi_n(x))^k$ .  $\square$

Given a polynomial  $P \in \mathcal{P}(^k E, c_0)$ , with  $Px = (P_n x)_n$ , we define  $\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{P}(^k E^{**}, \ell_\infty)$  by  $\tilde{P}z := (\tilde{P}_n z)_n$ . Then we conclude

#### Corollary 15

*The space  $E$  has the Grothendieck property if and only if for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}(^k E, c_0)$ , we have that  $\tilde{P}(E^{**}) \subseteq c_0$ .*



For polynomials whose restrictions to bounded sets are weakly continuous, we can deduce a result on weak convergence:

**Corollary 16**

*The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a)  *$E$  has the Grothendieck property;*
- (b) *for every integer  $k$  and every  $F$ , if for a sequence  $(P_n) \subset \mathcal{P}_{wb}({}^kE, F)$  we have that  $\langle P_n x, \psi \rangle \rightarrow 0$  for all  $x \in E$  and  $\psi \in F^*$ , then  $(P_n)$  is weakly null;*
- (c) *the same statement as (b) is true for some  $k$  and some  $F \neq \{0\}$ ;*
- (d) *for some  $k$ , if for a sequence  $(P_n) \subset \mathcal{P}_{wb}({}^kE)$  we have that  $P_n x \rightarrow 0$  for all  $x \in E$ , then  $(P_n)$  is weakly null.*

*Proof.*

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) It is proved in Theorem 4 of [10] that a sequence  $(P_n) \subset \mathcal{P}_{wb}({}^kE, F)$  is weakly null if and only if, for every  $z \in E^{**}$  and  $\psi \in F^*$ , we have  $\langle \tilde{P}_n z, \psi \rangle \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore, it is enough to apply Theorem 14(b).

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) is trivial.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d) Take  $0 \neq y \in F$  and define  $Q_n x := (P_n x)y$ .

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) Given a  $w^*$ -null sequence  $(\phi_n) \subset E^*$ , apply (d) to  $P_n x := (\phi_n(x))^k$ .  $\square$

## §4

Several authors [20, 13, 11] have studied conditions on  $E, F$  so that  $\mathcal{P}({}^kE, F) = \mathcal{P}_{cc}({}^kE, F)$ . Here we investigate the equality  $\mathcal{P}({}^kE, c_0) = \mathcal{P}_{cc}({}^kE, c_0)$ , proving that it is equivalent to  $\mathcal{L}(E, c_0) = \mathcal{CC}(E, c_0)$ . Therefore, the Grothendieck spaces with the Dunford-Pettis property, and the Schur spaces satisfy this property.

**Theorem 17**

*The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\mathcal{L}(E, c_0) = \mathcal{CC}(E, c_0)$ ;
- (b)  $\mathcal{P}({}^kE, c_0) = \mathcal{P}_{cc}({}^kE, c_0)$  for all integers  $k$ .
- (c)  $\mathcal{P}({}^kE, c_0) = \mathcal{P}_{cc}({}^kE, c_0)$  for some integer  $k$ .

*Proof.*

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) By induction on  $k$ . For  $k = 1$  there is nothing to prove. Assume the result is true for  $k - 1$ , and consider  $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^kE, c_0)$  with associated  $k$ -linear mapping  $A$ . We only sketch the proof, since it follows the lines of that in [11, Theorem 6]. In fact, we can prove that every  $k$ -linear mapping from  $E^k$  into  $c_0$  takes weak Cauchy sequences into convergent ones. Let  $(x_n^1), \dots, (x_n^k) \subset E$  be weak Cauchy sequences. Suppose first that one of them, say  $(x_n^1)$ , is weakly null.

Define the operator

$$\begin{aligned} T : E &\longrightarrow c_0(c_0) \\ y &\longmapsto \left( A(x_n^1, \dots, x_n^{k-1}, y) \right)_n. \end{aligned}$$

Using the induction hypothesis, it is not difficult to see that  $T$  is well-defined. Since  $c_0(c_0)$  is isomorphic to  $c_0$ ,  $T$  is completely continuous. From this, we have

$$\lim_m \|A(x_m^1, \dots, x_m^k)\| \leq \lim_m \sup_n \|A(x_n^1, \dots, x_n^{k-1}, x_m^k)\| = 0.$$

In the general case, the proof follows that of Theorem 6 in [11].

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) is obvious.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) is clear.  $\square$

The condition  $\mathcal{L}(E, c_0) = \mathcal{CC}(E, c_0)$  implies that  $E$  has the Dunford-Pettis property. However, there are spaces with the Dunford-Pettis property that admit non-completely continuous operators into  $c_0$  (e.g.  $E = c_0$ ,  $E = L_1[0, 1]$ ).

## References

- [1] R. Alencar, R. M. Aron and S. Dineen, A reflexive space of holomorphic functions in infinitely many variables, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **90** (1984), 407–411.
- [2] R. Alencar, R. M. Aron and G. Fricke, Tensor products of Tsirelson’s space, *Illinois J. Math.* **31** (1987), 17–23.
- [3] R. M. Aron, C. Hervés and M. Valdivia, Weakly continuous mappings on Banach spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **52** (1983), 189–204.
- [4] K. Astala and H. O. Tylli, On the bounded compact approximation property and measures of noncompactness, *J. Funct. Anal.* **70** (1987), 388–401.
- [5] P. G. Casazza and T. J. Shura, *Tsirelson’s Space*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1363** (Springer-Verlag 1989).
- [6] A. M. Davie and T. W. Gamelin, A theorem on polynomial-star approximation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **106** (1989), 351–356.
- [7] J. D. Farmer, Polynomial reflexivity in Banach spaces, to appear in *Israel J. Math.*
- [8] M. González, Remarks on  $Q$ -reflexive Banach spaces, preprint.
- [9] M. González and J. M. Gutiérrez, Unconditionally converging polynomials on Banach spaces, to appear in *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*
- [10] M. González and J. M. Gutiérrez, Weak compactness in spaces of differentiable mappings, to appear in *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*
- [11] M. González and J. M. Gutiérrez, When every polynomial is unconditionally converging, preprint.
- [12] M. González and V. Onieva, Lifting results for sequences in Banach spaces, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **105** (1989), 117–121.

- [13] R. Gonzalo and J. A. Jaramillo, Compact polynomials between Banach spaces, preprint.
- [14] J. M. Gutiérrez, Weakly continuous functions on Banach spaces not containing  $\ell_1$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **119** (1993), 147–152.
- [15] J. R. Holub, Reflexivity of  $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **39** (1973), 175–177.
- [16] N. J. Kalton, Spaces of compact operators, *Math. Ann.* **208** (1974), 267–278.
- [17] V. Khasanov, On Banach spaces with Grothendieck property (Russian), in *Extremal problems of the theory of functions*, Collect. Articles, Tomsk 1984, 85–96.
- [18] H. P. Lotz, Uniform convergence of operators on  $L_\infty$  and similar spaces, *Math. Z.* **190** (1985), 207–220.
- [19] J. Mujica, *Complex Analysis in Banach Spaces*, Math. Studies **120** (North-Holland 1986).
- [20] A. Pełczyński, A property of multilinear operations, *Studia Math.* **16** (1957), 173–182.
- [21] G. Pisier, *Factorization of Linear Operators and Geometry of Banach Spaces*, Reg. Conf. Ser. Math. **60** (American Mathematical Society 1986).
- [22] R. A. Ryan, Applications of topological tensor products to infinite dimensional holomorphy, Ph. D. Thesis, Trinity College, Dublin 1980.
- [23] R. A. Ryan, Weakly compact holomorphic mappings on Banach spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **131** (1988), 179–190.
- [24] G. Willis, The compact approximation property does not imply the approximation property, *Studia Math.* **103** (1992), 99–108.